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## LPV 6.10.22

We are given $n+1$ numbers from the set $\{1,2, \cdots, 2 n\}$. Prove that there are two numbers among them such that one divides the other.

## Answer:

Divide each of the numbers $a_{i}$ into groups $A_{k}$ by $a_{i}=2^{p} \cdot k$ with bigest integer $p$, which is:

$$
A_{k}=2^{0} k+2^{1} k+2^{2} k+\cdots
$$

Obviously every $k$ is $k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, and we have a $n$-segmentation of $\{1,2, \cdots, 2 n\}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1} & =2^{0} \cdot 1,2^{1} \cdot 1,2^{2} \cdot 1, \cdots ; \\
A_{3} & =2^{0} \cdot 3,2^{1} \cdot 3,2^{2} \cdot 3, \cdots ; \\
\cdots & \\
A_{2 n-1} & =2^{0} \cdot(2 n-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

And every two numbers in each group will have one can be divided by the other. So if we are given $n+1$ numbers, at least 2 are from one group, with pigeon hole principle known. So there are two numbers among them such that one divides the other.

## LPV 6.10.23

What is the number of positive integers not larger than 210 and not divisible by 2,3 or 7 ?

Answer: Similar to 6.9.1, we have

$$
210-\left(\frac{210}{2}+\frac{210}{3}+\frac{210}{7}\right)+\left(\frac{210}{2 \cdot 3}+\frac{210}{2 \cdot 7}+\frac{210}{3 \cdot 7}\right)-\frac{210}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7}=60
$$

integers not larger than 210 and not divisible by 2,3 or 7 .

## Special Problem 3

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}$ be independent Poisson trials such that $\operatorname{Pr}\left\{X_{i}=1\right\}=p_{i}$. Let $X=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_{i}$ and $\mu=E(X)$. In class we derived one version of the Chernoff Bounds regarding the probability that $X>(1+\sigma) \mu$. Here you are asked to prove the following bounds in a similar way:
(a) For $0<\delta<1$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\{X \leq(1-\delta) \mu\} \leq\left(\frac{e^{-\delta}}{(1-\delta)^{1-\delta}}\right)^{\mu}
$$

(b) Assume that $p_{i}=1 / 2$ for all $i$. Prove the stronger bound that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left|X-\frac{n}{2}\right|>a \leq 2 e^{\frac{-2 a^{2}}{n}} .
$$

(Hint: First show that $e^{t}+1 \leq 2 e^{t / 2+t^{2} / 8}$ for all $t>0$.)

## Answer:

(a) Known $\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{i}=1\right)=p_{i}$ and $\operatorname{Pr}(X \leq a) \leq e^{t a} \Pi_{i} E\left[e^{-t X_{i}}\right]$ with $t>0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}(X \leq(1-\delta) \mu) & \leq \frac{\Pi_{i=1}^{n} E\left[e^{-t X_{i}}\right]}{e^{-t(1-\delta) \mu}} \\
& =\frac{\Pi_{i=1}^{n}\left[p_{i} e^{-t}+\left(1-p_{i}\right)\right]}{e^{-t(1-\delta) \mu}}
\end{aligned}
$$

And with know $1+x \leq e^{x}$, we have $p_{i} e^{-t}+\left(1-p_{i}\right)=p_{i}\left(e^{-t}-1\right)+1 \leq e^{p^{i}\left(e^{-t}-1\right)}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}(X \leq(1-\delta) \mu) & \leq \frac{\Pi_{i=1}^{n} e^{p_{i}\left(e^{-t}-1\right)}}{e^{-t(1-\delta) \mu}} \\
& =\frac{e^{\left(e^{-t}-1\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}}}{e^{-t(1-\delta) \mu}} \\
& =\frac{e^{\left(e^{-t}-1\right) \mu}}{e^{-t(1-\delta) \mu}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $t=-\ln (1-\delta)$ and $t>0$ when $0<\delta<1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}(X \leq(1-\delta) \mu) & \leq \frac{e^{\left(e^{-t}-1\right) \mu}}{e^{-t(1-\delta) \mu}} \\
& =\frac{e^{-\delta \mu}}{(1-\delta)^{(1-\delta) \mu}} \\
& =\left[\frac{e^{-\delta}}{(1-\delta)^{(1-\delta)}}\right]^{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) I have no idea from $e^{t}-1 \leq 2 e^{t / 2+t^{2} / 8}$. But a different prove from Probability and Computing:

## Randomized Algorithms and Probabilistic Analysis is found as below:

Let $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \cdots, Y_{n}$ be independent random variables with $\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i}=1\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i}=-1\right)=\frac{1}{2}$ and $Y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}$, for any $t>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[e^{t Y_{i}}\right] & =\frac{1}{2} e^{t}+\frac{1}{2} e^{-t} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(1+t+\frac{t^{2}}{2!}+\frac{t^{3}}{3!}+\cdots\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(1-t+\frac{t^{2}}{2!}-\frac{t^{3}}{3!}+\cdots\right) \\
& =\sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{t^{2 i}}{(2 i)!} \\
& =\sum_{i \geq 0}\left(t^{2} / 2\right)^{i} / i! \\
& =e^{t^{2} / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

With $t=\frac{a}{n}>0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}(Y \geq a) & \leq \frac{E\left[e^{t Y}\right]}{e^{t a}} \\
& =\frac{\Pi_{i=1}^{n} E\left[e^{t Y_{i}}\right]}{e^{t a}} \\
& =e^{n t^{2} / 2-t a} \\
& =e^{-a^{2} / 2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

So with $X_{i}$ have $\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{i}=1\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{i}=0\right)=\frac{1}{2}$ and $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$. We get $\mu=\frac{n}{2}$ and $X=$ $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}+1\right)=\frac{1}{2} Y+\mu$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(X \geq \mu+a)=\operatorname{Pr}(Y \geq 2 a) \leq e^{-4 a^{2} / 2 n}
$$

with symmetry, we finally get

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\left|X-\frac{n}{2}\right| \geq a\right) \leq 2 e^{\frac{-2 a^{2}}{n}}
$$

## Special Problem 4

Use the Chernoff Bounds derived in class and in the above problem to prove the following inequalities: For all $0<\delta \leq 1$
(a) $\operatorname{Pr}\{X \geq(1+\delta) \mu\} \leq e^{-\mu \delta^{2} / 3}$.
(b) $\operatorname{Pr}\{X \leq(1-\delta) \mu\} \leq e^{-\mu \delta^{2} / 2}$

Remark Note that it follows from (a) and (b) that $\operatorname{Pr}\{|X-E(X)|>a\} \leq 2 e^{-a^{2} / 3 E(X)}$ for all $0<a \leq$ $E(X)$.

## Answer:

(a) From SP3a we get a symmetry formula:

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(X \geq(1+\delta) \mu) \leq\left[\frac{e^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}}\right]^{\mu}
$$

To get

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(X \geq(1+\delta) \mu) \leq e^{-\mu \delta^{2} / 3}
$$

We can get

$$
\frac{e^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}} \leq e^{-\delta^{2} / 3}
$$

first.
The derivative of upper inequality is writen as blow:

$$
f(\delta)=\delta-(1+\delta) \ln (1+\delta)+\frac{\delta^{2}}{3} \leq 0
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(\delta) & =-\ln (1+\delta)+\frac{2}{3} \delta \\
f^{\prime \prime}(\delta) & =-\frac{1}{1+\delta}+\frac{2}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $f^{\prime \prime}(\delta)<0$ for $0<\delta<\frac{1}{2}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(\delta)>0$ for $\frac{1}{2}<\delta \leq 1$. And $f^{\prime}(0)=0, f^{\prime}(1)=-\ln (2)+\frac{2}{3}<0$, so $f^{\prime}(\delta)<0$ for all $0<\delta \leq 1$.

With $f(0)=0$, we are convienced now that $f(\delta)<0$ for all $0<\delta \leq 1$, which equals to (a).
(b) Similary to (a), we get the derivative as below:

$$
g(\delta)=-\delta-(1-\delta) \ln (1-\delta)+\frac{\delta^{2}}{2} \leq 0
$$

And

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(\delta) & =\ln (1-\delta)+\delta \\
g^{\prime \prime}(\delta) & =1-\frac{1}{1-\delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $g^{\prime \prime}(\delta)<0$ for all $0<\delta \leq 1$. And $g^{\prime}(0)=0$, so $g^{\prime}(\delta)<0 ; g(0)=0$, so $g(\delta)<0$ is got easily.
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